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• Sun synchronous
• Equator overpass time 10:00
• Swath 500km
• 7 Spectral Channels:
  • IR: 1.6, 3.7, 10.85, 12 μm
  • VIS: 0.555, 0.67, 0.865 μm
• Spatial resolution 1 x 1 km²

AATSR lost on 6 April 2012 – SLSTR launched onboard Sentinel-3, 16 February 2016

• AATSR has two viewing angles; forward at 55°, and nadir
• Two viewing angles allow to account for surface effects on TOA radiation
• Over land the dual view aerosol retrieval algorithm (ADV) is used
• Over ocean the two views are used separately: forward and nadir

17-years: 1995-2012: ATSR-2&AATSR, extended with SLSTR
AATSR Dual View Algorithm (ADV): Aerosol retrieval over land

\[ \rho_{TOA} = \rho_{atm} + \frac{R_{surf} T_{down} T_{up}}{1 - R_{surf} \cdot r} \]

Using the two ATSR views, the land surface contribution to the TOA reflectance is effectively removed and the path radiance retained.

Improvements expected in v3.0 (current 2.30plume)

Veefkind et al., 1998
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AATSR (2003-2011) aggregated AOD550 & validation
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Kolmonen et al., IJDE, 2015
AATSR (2003-2011): validation

Binning gives better insight than scatter
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Kolmonen et al., IJDE, 2015
Comparison with other algorithms & instruments (2008)

AOD550 differences: Satellite – AERONET/MAN

Satellite lower ↔ satellite higher

Ref: Aerosol_cci
Comparison ADV with MODIS C6 (for 2008)

AOD550 differences: Satellite – AERONET/MAN

ADV/ASV lower ↔ ADV/ASV higher

- AERONET provides independent validation, but gaps in coverage
- MODIS for reference
- Global AOD555 difference: -0.08
- Ocean: AATSR overall higher
- Land: MODIS overall higher, and much higher over high AOD areas

Evaluate possible reasons for differences

Ref: Aerosol_cci
Aerosol retrieval can usually only be done for clear sky. Therefore strict cloud detection is needed while also aerosol scenes should not be flagged as cloudy. At FMI a cloud post-processing scheme has been developed which removes a priori undetected clouds while retaining valid aerosol pixels. Ref: MarcoPolo (EU FP7)
ADV cloud test evaluation (Europe)

L.Sogacheva
Cloud detection: validation vs CALIOP
Test case 1: 31-July-2008

Calispo – AATSR ~ 2 min;
ca. 15 match-ups used for analysis (1 summer)

(L. Sogacheva & E. Marinou)
Test case 1
ADV and CALIPSO co-location

Residual clouds?

Calipso flags

- AOD (ADV)
- COT (ADV)
- Clean air (Calipso)
- clouds (Calipso)
- aerosols (Calipso)
- Stratospheric (Calipso)
- Surface (Calipso)

Calipso – AATSR ~ 2min

L. Sogacheva & E. Marinou)
ADV AOD: residual clouds

Nadir RGB

ADV AOD

L. Sogacheva et al., submitted
AATSR ADV AOD retrieval: needs for cloud post-processing

Cloud contamination

All pixels retrieved

Pixels left after cloud post-processing (Kolmonen et al., 2015)

L. Sogacheva et al., submitted
Cloud Post processing (CPP)

1. Cloud edge test
   • Applied to each retrieved pixel (10x10km²)
   • 9 nearest pixels are checked

2. AOD standard deviation test
   • If std(aod) >= 0.1, the pixel is discarded

If N of cloud free pixels <= 3, pixel considered as cloud edge and discarded

CPP -> ExCPP

Kolmonen et al., IJDE, 2015
AOD validation with AERONET

All pixels retrieved vs Pixels left after ExCPP

Globe
ADV/ASV AOD vs AERONET AOD
N = 26070 r = 0.8

Europe
ADV/ASV AOD vs AERONET AOD
N = 8181 r = 0.6

China
ADV/ASV AOD vs AERONET AOD
N = 564 r = 0.87

N = 19144 r = 0.84

L.Sogacheva et al., submitted
Cloud post-processing is done in ADV to remove residual clouds.

However, also areas with high AOD are affected. Therefore a ‘plume’ detection scheme has been developed to identify such high AOD areas for which the post-processing is not applied. This effectively takes care of residual cloud removal while retaining high AOD.

Result: improved validation metrics & coverage
"Plume" detection method

- 3 years of AOD data visually checked
- more than 150 cases were chosen to develop the detection method
- Spatial variability test: st dev (AOD) increased

"Plume" is detected, if number of low (<0.6) AOD pixels is lower than 40%

L. Sogacheva et al., submitted
Improved cloud post-processing

All pixels retrieved after existing cloud post-processing (Kolmonen et al., 2015)

After improved cloud post-processing (Sogacheva et al., subm.)
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Seasonal AATSR ADV AOD 2003-2011
after cloud post-processing implementation: old and improved

old

Improved
Includes plume detection

L.Sogacheva et al., submitted
AOD seasonal variation

New cloud post-processing and plume detection

- Mainly affects areas with high AOD
- China, India: large effect in summer, less in winter
- Very little effect on cleaner areas
- Global over land ~0.02 higher

L. Sogacheva et al., submitted
AOD time series over several regions of interest for PEEX
ADV and MODIS (DT&DB) : all pixels retrieved
ADV and MODIS (DT&DB) : collocated pixels

Difference between AATSR and MODIS mainly over land: MODIS higher AOD and larger seasonal differences; co-location reduces these differences but does not eliminate them
ADV and MODIS: collocated pixels, normalized

Normalisation to global mean for the study period (2003-2011) reduces the difference in seasonality.
AATSR and AERONET AOD over Beijing:
winter minima and summer maxima; diurnal effects

Time series for seasonally (coloured dots) averaged AOD-ADV (blue line), AOD-AERONET in the time window ±30 min from AATSR over path (green line) and whole day AOD-AERONET (magenta line).

Ref: MarcoPolo (EU FP7)
Conclusions and outlook

• Satellites provide the spatial, vertical and temporal distribution of aerosols

• Cloud detection remains a problem, cloud post-processing is used to alleviate this problem

• Time series show yearly and seasonal variation

• AOD (difference) maps show the year to year variability

• The combination of different instruments provides complimentary information: CALIOP, MODIS, MISR, SeaWiFS, OMI, etc. (feature, types, AAI, coverage&complementarity, …)

• This information is used for inverse modelling to determine emissions

• These data need to be further analysed and interpreted, together with that from precursor gases and meteorological information, using models, information on emission sources and atmospheric processes to better understand the aerosol properties and their spatial and temporal variations
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